Geoffrey L. Harrison, admitted Pro Hac Vice Susman Godfrey LLP 1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100 Houston, TX 77002 Telephone: (713) 653-7807 Fax: (713) 654-3367

Email: gharrison@susmangodfrey.com

Attorney-in-Charge for KBR Defendants

Jeffrey S. Eden, OSB #851903

Schwabe, Williamson & Wyatt, P.C. Pacwest Center 1211 SW 5th Ave., Suite 1900 Portland, OR 97204 Telephone 503.222.9981 Fax 503.796.2900 Email: jeden@schwabe.com

Local Counsel for KBR Defendants

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

#### PORTLAND DIVISION

ROCKY BIXBY, et al,

No. 3:09-cv-632-PK

Plaintiffs,

VS.

KBR INC., et al.,

Defendants.

DECLARATION OF JEFFREY S. EDEN IN SUPPORT OF KBR'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' RENEWED ATTEMPT TO ESTABLISH A FOUNDATION FOR THEIR CSP-RELATED EXHIBITS AND ARGUMENTS

I, Jeffrey S. Eden, do hereby declare and say the following:

1. I am over the age of twenty-one (21) years, am competent to testify to the matters stated herein, have personal knowledge of the facts and statements in this declaration, and each of the facts and statements is true and correct.

2. I am an attorney in the law firm of Schwabe, Williamson & Wyatt. I am licensed

to practice law in the state of Oregon and admitted before this Court. I am the local counsel of

record for the KBR Defendants in the above-referenced litigation.

3. Attached as Exhibit 1 (X-1) to this Declaration is a true and correct copy of

excerpts from the Pretrial Hearing of September 18, 2012.

4. Attached as Exhibit 2 (X-2) to this Declaration is a true and correct copy of

excerpts from the deposition of Kuo Y. Tseng dated February 3, 2011.

5. Attached as Exhibit 3 (X-3) to this Declaration is a true and correct copy of

excerpts from the deposition of Robert Reeves dated August 17, 2012.

I HEREBY DECLARE THAT THE ABOVE STATEMENTS ARE TRUE TO THE

BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, AND THAT I UNDERSTAND THEY ARE

MADE FOR USE AS EVIDENCE IN COURT AND ARE SUBJECT TO PENALTY FOR

PERJURY.

Dated this 5<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2012.

/s/ Jeffrey S. Eden

Jeffrey S. Eden

Of Counsel:

Jeffrey S. Eden
jeden@schwabe.com
SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON &WYATT
1211 SW 5th Avenue, Suite 1900
Portland, Oregon 97204
Telephone: (503) 796-2837

Telephone: (503) 796-2837 Facsimile: (503) 796-2900

Vineet Bhatia

Texas State Bar No. 00795976

S.D. Admissions No. 20187

vbhatia@susmangodfrey.com

J. Hoke Peacock III (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)

Texas State Bar No. 15673980

S.D. Admissions No. 13529

tpeacock@susmangodfrey.com

Johnny W. Carter (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)

State Bar No. 00796312

S.D. Admissions No. 21988

jcarter@susmangodfrey.com

Chanler A. Langham (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

Texas State Bar No. 24053314

S.D. Admissions No. 659756

clangham@susmangodfrey.com

SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.

1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100

Houston, Texas 77002-5096 Telephone: (713) 651-9366

Facsimile: (713) 654-6666

Jordan Connors (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

Washington State Bar No. 416499

jconnors@susmangodfrey.com

SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.

1201 Third Avenue

Seattle, Washington 98101 Telephone: (206) 516-3880

Facsimile: (206) 516-3883

Raymond B. Biagini (Admitted *pro hac vice*)

rbiagini@mckennalong.com

Kurt J. Hamrock

khamrock@mckennalong.com

MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP

1900 K Street NW, Suite 100 Washington, D.C. 20006-11808

Telephone: (202) 496-7500 Facsimile: (202) 496-7756

Warren W. Harris (Admitted pro hac vice)

warren.harris@bgllp.com

BRACEWELL & GIULIANI LLP

711 Louisiana Street, Suite 2300

Houston, Texas 77002-2770

Telephone: (713) 221-1490

Facsimile: (713) 221-2199

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON 2 ROCKY BIXBY, et al., 3 Plaintiffs, Case No. 3:09-cv-632-PK vs. 5 September 18, 2012 KBR, Inc., et al., 6 Portland, Oregon Defendant. 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 Pretrial Hearing 14 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 15 BEFORE THE HONORABLE PAUL PAPAK 16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MAGISTRATE JUDGE 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1

THE COURT: Well, I think you presume the conclusion perhaps the plaintiffs presumed at the outset that there's relevance. If there is a link, if the plaintiffs establish a link that somebody knew and had in their possession, saw, read, should have known because they were opening these documents, there seems to be an inference -- not a powerfully strong one -- that someone would know that a large volume of chemicals was used, one of which was sodium dichromate, and that could have influenced KBR's decision.

Absent that link, absent some foundational showing of that link, the document doesn't come in, and its relevance isn't established for consideration by the jury in the abstract. If there is some document out there -- and so what I'm saying to the plaintiffs is I'm not going to grant this motion, but the contingency support plan and the inferences that you drew from it to information about sodium dichromate will only be for the jury if an adequate foundation as to its linkage to KBR's knowledge is drawn. Absent that, it won't come in, or if it comes in inadvertently, there will be an instruction that makes it clear to the jury that this was not an appropriate thing for them to consider. So I'm going to deny it with that explanation.

Erin Brockovich. I'm not exactly sure about the

IN THE UNITED STATE FOR THE SOUTHERN DI	
HOUSTON DIV	
MARK McMANAWAY, ET AL  PLAINTIFFS,  VS.  KBR, INC., ET AL,  DEFENDANTS.	) ) ) Civil Action No. ) ) 4:10-cv-01044 ) )
**********************	
IN THE UNITED STATE FOR THE DISTRIC	
ROCKY BIXBY, ET AL ) PLAINTIFFS, )	
VS. ) CA (KBR, INC., ET AL )	SE NO. 3:09-CV-632-PK
KBR, INC., ET AL	
DEFENDANTS. )	
**************************************	
KUO Y. TS	ENG
FEBRUARY 3	2, 2011
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	- 37 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34

# KUO Y. TSENG - February 3, 2011

		5
1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is Tape 1 in the	
2	videotaped deposition of Mr. Kuo Tseng. Today's date	
3	is February 3rd, 2011. We're on the record. The time	
4	is 9:26 a.m.	
5	Will counsel please introduce themselves for	
6	the jury?	
7	MR. DOYLE: Mike Doyle and Gabe Hawkins	
8	here for Rocky Bixby and other veterans.	
9	MR. PEACOCK: Trey Peacock of Susman	
10	Godfrey on behalf of the witness and KBR, along with	
11	Ronald Scott from KBR.	
12	KUO Y. TSENG,	
13	having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:	
14	EXAMINATION	
15	BY MR. DOYLE:	
16	Q. Tell us your name, please.	
17	A. My name is K-U-O, said in Chinese, "Ko." My	
18	last name is Tseng, T-S-E-N-G.	
19	Q. Let me	
20	A. Kuo Tseng.	
21	Q. And you work for for KBR?	
22	A. Yes, I am.	
23	Q. Did you work for KBR in 2003?	
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q. Doing what?	

# KUO Y. TSENG - February 3, 2011

	6
1	A. I was I was working for KBR in 2003 as a
2	project engineer assigned to Iraq from March of the
3	year to help KBR to complete the RIO Project, R-I-O
4	Project.
5	Q. And by "RIO," Restore Iraqi Oil Project?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. This was work on the oil infrastructure of
8	Iraq?
9	A. The assignment I took was to assist the
10	company to restore the oil business in Iraq.
11	Q. Which meant doing work on the infrastructure,
12	the buildings, the equipment?
13	A. To do my to use my experience to help the
14	company to restore the oil sector in the country.
15	Q. And by the "oil sector," what are you talking
16	about?
17	A. The oil production from the oil well, the
18	refining capability in the refinery, the gas liquefied
19	process in the LPG plant, and transportation of the
20	crude through the pipeline, on and on.
21	Q. Including what water treatment facility in
22	Southern Iraq?
23	A. Including the power supply, including the
24	water treatment, including the wastewater treatment,
25	and so forth.

# KUO Y. TSENG - February 3, 2011

	7
1	Q. What water treatment plant in Southern Iraq
2	near Basra also?
3	A. We had no idea of the facility before we left
4	the United States.
5	Q. When you say "we," you were given zero
6	information by anyone about a facility called Qarmat
7	Ali before you left the United States?
8	A. That's true.
9	Q. Who was giving you information? What level of
10	management?
11	A. I was hired by the company back in February of
12	1980. And as a designing engineer, in '92 they pulled
13	me out of the discipline and assigned me as the project
14	management, engineering project management, and then my
15	job title was project engineer at the time. So I took
16	the assignment as a project engineer.
17	Q. 2003, who was giving you information, what
18	managers, about what you were to do?
19	A. We did not know that until we settled in
20	Kuwait where the team of the KBR was assembled at
21	the time, because Iraq at that time was was was
22	still in the war zone. So the team, we stay in one of
23	the hotels in Kuwait, and then the headquarter at the
24	time was there.

WWW.MILLER-REPORTING.COM - 713-581-7799/877-721-6416 Email: Depositions@Miller-Reporting.Com

25

Q.

You spent time getting ready to testify today

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION

MARK McMANAWAY, ET AL., )
PLAINTIFFS, )
VS. ) CASE NO. 4:10-cv-01044

KBR, INC., ET AL., )
DEFENDANTS. )

ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
ROBERT A. REEVES
AUGUST 17, 2012
VOLUME 2

ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ROBERT A. REEVES, Volume 2, produced as a witness at the instance of the PLAINTIFFS, and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on the 17th of August, 2012, from 1:38 p.m. to 3:20 p.m., before Jeffrey M. Steele, CSR in and for the State of Texas, reported by machine shorthand, at the offices of Susman Godfrey, 901 Main Street, Suite 5100, City of Dallas, County of Dallas, State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

122 Rio was issued March 2003 the team reassembled? 1 A. Yes. Not -- not exactly that team, but I'm 2 sure a lot of the people who were on it were brought 3 back and then supplemented by other people as necessary. 4 The particular assessment, contingent plan, 5 including assessing the Iraq infrastructure? 6 Uh-huh. 7 Α. Yes? 8 Q. 9 Α. Yes. Including Qarmat Ali? 10 Q. Α. Yes. 11 In fact, there was a specific section of this 12 13 plan dealing with the water injection plants? Yes. 14 Α. You were aware of that when you gave your 15 16 testimony last time, weren't you? Yes. 17 Α. Did you indicate that this plan didn't -- the 18 last time you testified, didn't have any indication it 19 20 had to do with the oil project? I'm sorry, could you say that question again. 21 Did you indicate when you testified last time 22 23 under oath that this particular assessment --Which particular assessment now? 24 A. The one you were involved in prewar, the one 25

123 that you were the program manager. 1 2 Okay. Did not include any effort to assess 3 Qarmat Ali? 4 A. No, that's not what I said. What I said was I 5 was not very familiar with any of the great details on 6 Oarmat Ali at that time. 7 Did you look at your deposition before today? 8 9 Α. No. Did you review it? 10 Q. No. 11 Α. You absolutely, positively remember what you 12 13 testified under oath, 2011, in this case? Yeah, because I thought at that time what could 14 have been misconstrued on the answer. 15 When you -- what do you mean it could have been 16 misconstrued? 17 To mean I -- we didn't have anything to do with 18 Qarmat Ali, but that's not the case. All I was saying 19 was I was not intimately familiar with it, but we did 20 have it in the plan. 21 In fact, there was a very specific tab in this 22 23 plan dealing with Qarmat Ali? 24 A. Yes. A very detailed plan for labor and equipments 25

130 Correct? 1 Q. 2 Yes. Yes. All right. Was there any restriction on 3 looking for publicly available documents during this 4 5 assessment? Α. Yes. 6 What was that? 7 0. We were restricted to use the information given 8 9 to us by the -- by the government to develop the plan, and we were not allowed to go outside for other 10 information. 11 When you say, we weren't allowed to go to other 12 information, weren't allowed to go, for example, to 13 textbooks that engineers had available? 14 The textbooks would be okay. 15 Α. Well, what else is okay? If textbooks are 16 Q. available, what other --17 That's -- that's it. 18 This particular plan, contingency plan, was it 19 continued to be referred to once the actual work on the 20 ground began? 21 I'm not sure I follow your question. 22 23 You charged the government \$10 million for this assessment, right? 24 I'm not sure if \$10 million is right. I think 25

143 1 Α. Yes. That was professionals in safety at KBR, 2 including at least one you remember, Dennis Bagnoche, 3 actually going through all the documents, all the 4 5 information available, making sure they identified potential safety hazards, that was what they were 6 getting paid to do, wasn't it? 7 MR. HARRISON: Objection to the form of the 8 question. 9 They would have done a safety review, so I -- I 10 can't say the details, I'm not quite sure what you're --11 12 what they did. O. (BY MR. DOYLE) Well, when you say you're not 13 quite sure, part of what they were supposed to do, 14 because this was an assessment of the work that was 15 going to be done on the ground once the war started, was 16 identify hazards that might be anticipated? 17 Burning oil wells, oil well spills, things like 18 19 that. Chemicals? 0. 20 Sure. 21 Α. Any doubt that absolutely, positively from the 22 23 prewar assessment knew that there were likely going to be chemicals involved in the Iraqi oil infrastructure? 24 Is there any doubt there would be? No, there 25

144 would be no doubt. 1 In fact, was it also well known to KBR that 2 this was environmentally degraded infrastructure, not 3 very good industrial hygiene? 4 We knew that the Iraqi facilities were not 5 6 getting the best of care during -- during operation. Q. Well, you knew that standard industrial hygiene 7 practices were not something you anticipated the Iraqis 8 9 had been doing for decades --Uh-huh. 10 Α. -- true? 11 Q. 12 Α. Yes. 13 So it wasn't something unanticipated. In fact, Q. you knew from the assessment, you knew from doing this 14 prewar work that not following industrial hygiene, not 15 16 following accepted Western safety handling was something that you anticipated from the prewar assessment? 17 18 Α. Yes. You knew that it was likely that chemicals that 19 were present were not going to necessarily be contained, 20 safe, like you might anticipate in a Texas plant? 21 Α. Yes. 22 23 You knew that it was likely that whatever chemicals were being used might be sitting in a 24 potentially contaminated area, that wasn't something 25

145 that would be a surprise, that was something you 1 2 confirmed from the assessment? Riaht. 3 Α. Likewise, it was clear that once on the ground 4 0. there would be -- it would be necessary to look at these 5 degraded decades of poor industrial hygiene by 6 professionals, true? 7 Α. Yes. 8 9 In fact, that was what KBR knew it had to do as part of the work, use its professional expertise to look 10 at these, evaluate just how bad these environmentally 11 degraded, poor chemical handling, poor infrastructure 12 Iragi facilities were? 13 Α. Yes. 14 So it certainly wouldn't be a surprise, in 15 fact, already knew before you ever got out there from 16 the prewar assessment, that Qarmat Ali, like all these 17 other facilities, was likely to have chemicals that were 18 maintained poorly, poor industrial hygiene, site 19 contamination, poorly maintained? 20 MR. HARRISON: Objection to the form of the 21 question. 22 23 A. Could you repeat it again please? (BY MR. DOYLE) Yes, sir. In fact, it wasn't a 24 surprise, it was already known from the assessment from 25

148 Qarmat Ali, like the other portions of the Iraqi oil 1 2 infrastructure, expected what kind of environmental conditions? 3 I don't know you could say environmental, so 4 much as we -- we expected the equipment to be in 5 relatively poor conditions, and if you want to take an 6 extension of that, you know, that would mean it would be 7 a maybe not environmentally as good as it could be. 8 9 Well, potential hazards --Uh-huh. Α. 10 -- right? Q. 11 12 Α. Yeah. I mean that was something that KBR knew from 13 before the war from their assessment --14 15 Α. Okay. 16 Q. -- true? Uh-huh, yes. 17 A.*Is that correct?* 18 0. And so it certainly would not be a surprise 19 if chemicals onsite were un-contained, not where they 20 were supposed to be, not fully sealed off because that's 21 what an environmentally degraded site is, isn't it? 22 23 Α. Okay. Is that correct? 24 0. 25 Yes. Α.

156 significant document and time was of the -- of the 1 essence to get it done. 2 The particular engineering teams, do you 3 0. remember any human beings on those? 4 5 On the engineering teams? Yes. sir. 6 0. Hoosh, I know Rick Badgett was, like, the head 7 of -- head of it. Good lord, I'm afraid I can't bring 8 forth too many memories on what the names of the people 9 were. 10 So you've indicated Ralph Stephenson, Ray 11 0. 12 Badgett, any other human beings? I'm trying to remember but I can't. 13 Α. You'd have to look at the organizational chart? 14 Q. 15 Α. Yes. The particular -- you have in front of you 16 Q. what's been marked as Plaintiff Exhibit 27, have you 17 seen that document before? 18 19 Α. Yes. Tell us what it is. 20 0. It's a Ministry of Oil listing of equipment for 21 all the various portions of -- of the facilities. 22 23 0. Basically would it be fair to describe it as the Iraqi shopping list during the food for oil program? 24 As the shopping list? 25

159 under the United Nations, it would probably a listing of 1 the oil produced during that program. 2 O. Got it. So actually you don't even know what 3 this document, you know, we're looking at, oil exports, 4 you don't even know what that document is? 5 A. No. I don't. 6 Okav. So you can't say it's not this other 7 document or it's this document because you've never seen 8 9 it? But I'm telling you what it says, it says, oil 10 exports, which would mean to me, it says how much oil is 11 12 being exported from Iraq in that program. Q. Do you know what portions of the UN documents 13 were actually review by KBR, did you ever look at them 14 15 a11? 16 Α. No. So as far as what UN --17 0. We -- we did not look at it because it was not 18 part of the information given to us by the -- by the 19 client. 20 MR. DOYLE: Let me finish my question. Not 21 22 responsive. 23 Q. (BY MR. DOYLE) Did you actually look at all the UN documents that were reviewed by the KBR 24 25 engineers?

160 Did I actually look at it? 1 2 0. Yes, sir. 3 Α. No. So if we want to say, Mr. Reeves, this was a 0. 4 document that was part of what KBR looked at and this 5 wasn't, the answer would be you know or don't know? 6 I know that we would not use any information 7 not given it to us by the client because that was part 8 of our statement of work, and -- and so we wouldn't go 9 out looking for -- for more information like that 10 because the information given to us by them was stated 11 that it was the latest and most accurate information, so 12 we wouldn't be going out looking for other stuff because 13 we wouldn't know whether it was accurate or inaccurate. 14 MR. DOYLE: Nonresponsive. 15 (BY MR. DOYLE) I want to focus on what you can 16 0. tell us under oath. The UN materials available on the 17 Iragi oil infrastructure, whatever was reviewed or not 18 reviewed by engineers during this prewar assessment, 19 20 you, program manager, can't tell us what documents are in that whole category because you never --21 Yes. I can. I can tell you they used the 22 United Nations Expert Report because I remember that. 23 And this one says above that we got a listing of the oil 24 exports from the United -- from the United -- under the 25

161 United Nations Oil-for-Food Programme, and that says, 1 oil exports, and that's -- what that says to me is that 2 says how much oil was being produced in that timeframe, 3 so we knew how much oil was coming out and what we had 4 5 to try and get back to. MR. DOYLE: Nonresponsive. 6 (BY MR. DOYLE) Very specific. If I ask you, 0. 7 Mr. Reeves, what oil exports under the United States 8 9 Oil-for -- program actually looked like, the documents, how many pages, what was in it, you never saw it 10 personally? 11 12 A. Not the oil exports, no. Okay. You never saw the United Nations Expert 13 Q. Report, did you? 14 A. Yes, that was -- that was things that we had 15 seen as it came in. 16 Did you see it? 17 0. 18 Α. Yes. What did it include? 19 0. Okav. It included a listing of, as best they could, 20 the situation of the state of the oil facilities or oil 21 infrastructure. 22 23 O. Did it include materials that were needed in the infrastructure? 24 25 No. Α.

163 we -- we knew three pumps were running there and one 1 pump wasn't: that's probably where that came from. 2 Was it -- this particular UN Expert Okay. 3 Report. was it something available on-line? 4 I don't know. 5 Α. But what was -- what was in the documents and 6 what was not in this thing called the UN, United Nations 7 Expert Report, are you able to detail for us every page? 8 9 Α. No. Q. Are you able to tell us, for example, whether 10 something was part of it or not? 11 Not -- not now I couldn't, no, not --12 13 All right. So for example, can you under oath sav. because you don't know exactly what was part of 14 that today, whether or not this document we see as 15 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 27 was part of it or not? 16 17 Α. Not. And the reason you say, not, is you remember it 18 19 page-by-page? 20 Because this is Ministry of Oil and it's -it's a listing from the oil companies of what they need, 21 has nothing to do with the United Nations. 22 O. Do you know this listing of what the Iraqi oil 23 companies needed, whether it was given to the UN or not? 24 No, I don't know that. 25

166 wouldn't -- wouldn't have seen it. 1 0. You wouldn't know? 2 3 Α. No. So it's absolutely crystal clear; you're not 4 0. 5 saying, I, Robert Reeves, under oath know for a fact KBR, none of their employees, none of the folks in this 6 professional assessment, looked or didn't look, reviewed 7 or didn't review this listing of what the Iragis had 8 9 been ordering for chemicals for years? I would say it's highly improbable they didn't 10 because it just -- I don't think it was part of the UN 11 12 documents that we had. MR. DOYLE: Nonresponsive. 13 (BY MR. DOYLE) Is the bottom-line truthful 14 0. answer, you, Robert Reeves, can't verify, don't know 15 whether this UN listing of documents, materials ordered 16 by the Iragis for years was actually reviewed by a KBR 17 engineer or not? 18 MR. HARRISON: Objection to the form of the 19 question; it has been asked and answered, he has told 20 21 you. If you have some new information or 22 23 something to link this in some way please provide it, but that question's been asked and answered. 24 MR. DOYLE: No, it hasn't, and please don't 25

169 Was there somebody that indicated in any way 1 from KBR, whatever you do don't look at UN reports? 2 And that question --3 Yes, sir. I'll --4 Q. -- is confusing. 5 Α. -- rephrase it. The particular evaluation that 0. 6 was done, did it cease January 31st, 2003 when the 7 8 report was given to the U.S. Army? A. Yes, the project was shut down until 9 Project Rio started up. 10 But there was still a team at KBR? 11 Q. 12 Α. A limited team. And the limited team's job was to do what? 13 0. Basically keep the task force opened, waiting 14 15 for -- for the order to proceed. Is it in any way a big shock to you that the 16 Iragis used 4.000 tons of chemicals over a year basis at 17 18 Oarmat Ali? A. I'm not a order expert so I would say yes it is 19 a big shock to me. I don't know one way or the other. 20 But clearly anybody at KBR is going to know 21 22 they used chemicals? 23 Α. Sure. And during this month long assessment by KBR 24 managers, employees of Qarmat Ali that you don't know, 25

	177
1	promised, I told you if you just be quiet for 30
2	seconds, you didn't be quiet for 30 seconds, you didn't,
3	you could not do it, it was impossible. I don't have
4	any more questions, but it's amazing that when you say
5	you're going to be quiet for 30 seconds you can't do it.
6	MR. SCOTT: The only thing he said well,
7	never that's
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Are we off the record?
9	Q. (BY MR. DOYLE) Actually we don't have an
10	updated address for you, what's your current address?
11	A. Same address you had before.
12	MR. DOYLE: Thank you, sir.
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record,
14	the time is 2:59 p.m.
15	(Off the record.)
16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning of
17	tape two. We are back on the record, the time is
18	3:17 p.m.
19	EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. HARRISON
21	Q. May I ask you just a few questions about the
22	Contingency Support Plan that KBR prepared for the U.S.
23	government back in late 2002, early 2003?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Do the words sodium dichromate appear anywhere

178 in the 2000-plus page Contingency Support Plan? 1 2 MR. DOYLE: Leading, form. To the best of my knowledge, no. 3 (BY MR. HARRISON) Do the words sodium 0. 4 dichromate relating to Qarmat Ali or any other Iraqi oil 5 infrastructure facility appear anywhere in the 6 Contingency Support Plan? 7 To the best of my knowledge, no. 8 MR. DOYLE: Mr. Reeves, just a moment 9 pause; I don't want to talk over you. 10 Form; leading. Go ahead. 11 (BY MR. HARRISON) From where did KBR receive 12 the information it used to come up with the Contingency 13 Support Plan? 14 From our client, the U.S. government. 15 Okay. Was KBR allowed to do research beyond 16 Q. the information provided by the U.S. government? 17 Α. No. 18 MR. DOYLE: Leading, form. 19 (BY MR. HARRISON) Why not? 20 Q. Because it was a classified --21 Α. MR. DOYLE: Form. 22 23 -- project and doing research outside of the information given to us would be breaching that 24 classification, and it also, the information given to us 25

	170
1	to the best of our knowledge was the latest information
	_
2	that the government had on the situation and the
3	infrastructure.
4	Q. (BY MR. HARRISON) Who told you that? Is that
5	from the government?
6	A. Yes.
7	MR. DOYLE: Leading, form.
8	Q. (BY MR. HARRISON) Who told you that?
9	A. I believe it's in the statement of work.
10	Q. Provided by the?
11	A. U.S. government.
12	MR. DOYLE: Leading.
13	Q. (BY MR. HARRISON) Did the Plaintiff lawyer in
14	his questioning of you show you any document or evidence
15	indicating that KBR knew anything about sodium
16	dichromate at Qarmat Ali during the contingency planning
17	work?
18	A. No
19	MR. DOYLE: Leading, form.
20	A he didn't.
21	Q. (BY MR. HARRISON) Did he have the opportunity
22	to do that?
23	MR. DOYLE: Leading, form.
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. (BY MR. HARRISON) Have you read the

	180
1	Plaintiffs' legal papers on the subject of the
2	Contingency Support Plan and sodium dichromate?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Did the Plaintiffs in their legal papers have
5	any indication of sodium dichromate being known to KBR?
6	MR. DOYLE: Leading, form.
7	A. No.
8	Q. (BY MR. HARRISON) Do you know whether the
9	organizational chart listing team members involved in
10	the Contingency Support Plan still exists at KBR?
11	A. No, I don't know if it's exist or it had been
12	destroyed or just destroyed I guess.
13	MR. HARRISON: No further questions. Thank
14	you.
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record,
16	the time is 3:20 p.m.
17	THE REPORTER: For the record, counsel have
18	stipulated that custody of the Original Transcript of
19	the Oral Deposition of Robert A. Reeves, and exhibits
20	marked, if any, will be maintained by Mr. Michael
21	P. Doyle, Counsel for Plaintiff.
22	This concludes the deposition at 3:20 p.m.
23	(The Deposition was concluded at 3:20 p.m.)
24	
25	